

0040-4020(94)00735-7

Reaction of 2,2-Difluorovinyl Ketones with Heteroatom Nucleophiles: A General One-Pot Synthesis of α -Oxoketene Acetals¹

Junji Ichikawa,* Masakuni Kobayashi, Naoyuki Yokota, Yasuharu Noda, and Toru Minami*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Sensui-cho, Tobata, Kitakyushu 804, Japan

Abstract: 2,2-Difluorovinyl ketones readily react with heteroatom nucleophiles such as thiols, alcohols, and amines to undergo stepwise replacement of the two fluorine atoms via addition-elimination process. The reaction affords α oxoketene acetals including S,S-, N,S-, N,N-, O,S-, and O,O-acetals in good to excellent yields.

INTRODUCTION

 α -Oxoketene acetals, including variants of acetal groups such as S,S-, N,S-, N,N-, O,S-, and O,Oacetals, have received considerable attention in organic synthesis.²⁻⁴ These acetals possess synthetic potential for a variety of transformations via 1,2-nucleophilic addition to the carbonyl group and/or 1,4-addition to the enone moiety. These reactivities are widely utilized in the construction of i) carbocyclic.^{2,5} ii) heterocyclic.^{2,6} iii) polvene.⁷ and iv) aldol systems. $2,4$

Because of their versatility as 1,3-electrophilic 3-carbon synthons, a number of strategies and reaction conditions have been reported for the preparation of the family of α -oxoketene acetals. There are, however, few general procedures which can be adopted in preparing the acetals regardless of their kinds. In addition, most of the methods in the literature are prone to restriction of substituents, especially those of the acetal moiety due to the requirement for alkylation on sulfur or oxygen. α -Oxoketene O,O-acetals are synthesized by the alkylation on the ester carbonyl oxygen of β -ketoesters.^{4c} Similarly, the alkylation on the sulfur of β -ketodithioesters, β ketothioamides, and β -ketothioesters are preferably adopted to prepare the S,S-, N,S-, and O,S-acetals, respectively.^{2,3} These procedures, however, suffer from difficulty in the preparation of the S- and O-aryl acetals. On the other hand, introduction of heteroatoms by substitution at the β -vinylic carbon of enones might provide a general entry to a wide variety of α -oxoketene mixed acetals,^{2,8} which are particularly useful in the synthesis of functionalized cyclic compounds. Herein we wish to report a general one-pot synthesis of α oxoketene acetals with various heteroatom substituents starting from 2,2-difluorovinyl ketones 1 via substitution for the fluorines.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Having developed a facile method for the preparation of 2,2-difluoxovinyl ketones **1** from 2,2,2 trifluoroethyl p-toluenesulfonate,⁹ we recently revealed the remarkable reactivity of their fluorines toward nucleophilic substitution via addition-elimination process, and successfully applied it to the synthesis of fully substituted α , β -unsaturated ketones in the reaction with carbon nucleophiles. ¹⁰ These results prompted us to investigate the reaction of **1 with** heteroatom nucleophiles, which effects the formation of a-oxoketene acetals by successive replacement of the two fluorines.

Initially we attempted the reaction of difluorovinyl ketones with oxygen nucleophiles. Treatment of 2 butyl-3,3-difluoro-1-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (1a; R¹="Bu, R²=Ph) with 1.0 equiv of lithium phenolate in tetrahydrofuran WIF) at 0 "C induced replacement of the fluorine to give the monosubstituted product **2a** $(R¹=ⁿBu, R²=Ph, R³Y=PhO)$ as a *E/Z* mixture (13/87)¹¹ in 84% yield (Table 1, Entry 1). On the other hand, the disubstituted product, O,O-acetal 3a $(R^1 =_B nR)$, $R^2 = Ph$, $R^3Y = PhO$) was obtained in 82% yield when 2.2 equiv of phenolate was employed (Table 1, Entry 3). The dialcoholate of catechol (1.0 equiv) was found to undergo intramolecular double substitution to afford cyclic acetal3b **in** 63% yield (Table 1, Entry 4). In the case of aliphatic alcoholates as nucleophiles, the moncsubstituted products were unstable to be easily hydrolyzed leading to the corresponding β -ketoesters (vide infra).

Thiols brought about a similar substitution even at a lower temperature (-78 °C) or under less basic conditions (K2CO3 or Et3N) as shown in Table 1 (Entries 5-7). The *E/Z ratios* of the monosubstituted product 2c (R^1 =ⁿBu, R^2 =Ph, R^3Y =PhS) did not depend on the used bases. The results (Table 1, Entries 1 vs. 3, 5 vs. 8, and 9 vs. 10) disclosed that the substitution for the two fluorine atoms in 1 was readily effected in turn by heteroatom nucleophiles and accordingly controlled by the stoichiometry of the added nucleophiles (1.0 or 2.2-2.5 equiv), which might permit stepwise introduction of two different heteroatom groups.

Thus, **the** synthesis of a-oxoketene mixed acetals was examined next. When **la was treated with lithium** phenolate (1.0 equity) followed by lithium benzenethiolate (1.5 equity) , the desired O-phenyl, S-phenyl acetal 4a $(R³Y=PhO, R⁴Z=PhS)$ was obtained in 85% yield along with only a small amount of other homoacetals (Table 2, Entry 1). The reverse addition of nucleophiles, benzenethiolate and then phenolate, under similar conditions gave almost no $O₅$ -acetal but the monosubstituted product 2c. This result showed apparently that the stronger nucleophile of two should be added afterward to proceed the reaction smoothly. 'Ihe monosubstituted product of an aliphatic alcohol, 3-phenylpropanol, was generated *in situ* and also utilized for the second replacement by thiolates in spite of its instability mentioned above, leading to the O-alkyl S-aryl and O-alkyl S-alkyl acetals, 4b $(R³Y=Ph(CH₂)₃O$, $R⁴Z=PhS$) and 4c $(R³Y=Ph(CH₂)₃O$, $R⁴Z=EtS$) (Table 2, Entries 2 and 3). Consequently, this procedure opened a new way to α -oxoketene O,S-acetals, of which synthetic methods were quite limited.^{3,8} In a similar manner, the S-phenyl, S-ethyl mixed acetal 4d was also effectively obtained by successive treatment

with lithium ethanethiolate and lithium benzenethiolate (Table 2, Entry 4). Concerning the E/Z isomers of OS and S_nS -acetals, they were obtained in 2:1 to 1:1 mixture.

Entry		R^2	R^3YM (eq)	Conditions		Yd./% of $2(E/Z)$ a)b) Yd./% of 3 a)	
1		1a Ph	PhOLi (1.0)	$0 °C$. $0.5 h$		$2a \quad 84 \quad (13/87)$	trace
2		1b cHex	PhOLi(1.0)	0° C, 1 h		$2b$ 71 (11/89)	trace
3	1a	Ph	PhOLi (2.2)	r.t., 2 h	2a	5 c)	$3a$ 82
4	1a	Ph	dilithium catecholate (1.0)	–78 °C-r.t., 2 h		__ d)	$3b$ 63
5	1a	Ph	Ph $SLi(1.0)$	$-78-0$ °C, 1 h		2 c $85(40/60)$	3c ₇
6	1a	Ph	PhSH (1.0) ^{e)}	0° C, 1 h		$2c \quad 80 \ (42/58)$	trace
7	1a	Ph	PhSH (1.0) 0	r.t., 2 h		$2c$ 85 (40/60)	trace
8	1a	Ph	PhSLi (2.2)	$0 °C$, $0.5 h$		trace ^C	$3c$ 87
9	1a	Ph	EstLi(1.0)	-78 °C, 1 h		$2d$ 74 (66/34)	trace
10	1a	Ph	$ELSLi$ (2.5)	$0 °C$, 0.5 h		$\text{trace}^{\, \text{c})}$	$3d$ 83
11	1a	Ph		Ph $NH_2(4.1)$ r.t. - reflux, 2.5 h		_ ძ)	3e 88

Table 1. The Reaction of 2.2-Difluorovinyl Ketones with O- and S-Nucleophiles $(R^1 = nBu)$

a) Isolated yield. b) Numbers in parentheses show the ratios determined by GLC analysis. Configuration was assigned by ¹³C and ¹⁹F NMR measurement. See Ref.11. c) Ratio was not determined. d) Not isolated. e) K₂CO₃ (3.5 equiv) was employed as a base. f) Et₃N (1.0 equiv) was employed as a base.

Furthermore, we tried to apply this method for the preparation of the N, N - and N, S -acetals, which are valuable synthons with additional reactivity patterns because of their nucleophilicity as enamines besides the electrophilicity as enones.^{2a} Screening of the conditions for replacement by nitrogen nucleophiles revealed that employing 2.0 equiv of amines provided better results than 1.0 equiv of amines with bases such as "BuLi and Et₃N. When aniline (4.1 equiv) was added to 1a, the expected N,N-acetal 3e (R¹=ⁿBu, R²=Ph, R³Y=PhNH), which existed in β -ketoamidine form, was obtained in 88% yield (Table 1, Entry 11). Successive treatment of 1a with 2.0 equiv of amines and then $1.0-1.5$ equiv of lithium thiolates or the other amines (2.2 equiv) eventually afforded the corresponding N, S - or N, N -acetals 4e-h in high yields (Table 2, Entries 5-8). In the case of secondary amines, unstable monoamino compounds were generated in situ as the intermediates, which in turn reacted with the second nucleophiles, while the reaction of 1 with primary amines proceeded presumably via reactive α -oxoketene imines.¹²

In summary, 2,2-difluorovinyl ketones (1) serve as a versatile precursor yielding variants of α -oxoketene acetals by the successive addition of two different heteroatom nucleophiles, such as those of oxygen, sulfur, and

nitrogen via replacement of the fluorine atoms. Combined with the synthetic reaction of 1 which includes the introduction of R^1 and R^2CO groups with BR^1_3 and $R^2COCl⁹$ this sequence of reactions provides a general and facile entry to the class of α -oxoketene acetals, where the substituents can be selected independently. Moreover, it is proved here that fluorine acts as a powerful functional group for further synthetic elaborations, and we continue to study along this line.

Table 2. One-Pot Synthesis of α -Oxoketene Mixed Acetals from 1a

a) Isolated yield. Numbers in parentheses show the ratios determined by 13 C and/or 1 H NMR measurement. Configuration was not assigned. b) Obtained as a single isomer, judging from ¹³C and ¹H NMR spectra. c) Exists in ß-ketoimine form.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General. Melting points were measured in open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Shimazu IR-408 spectrometer. NMR spectra were obtained on a JEOL JNM-FX-60, a JEOL JNM-FX-100, a JEOL JNM-EX-270, or a JEOL JNM-A-500 spectrometer. Chemical shift value were given in ppm relative to internal Me₄Si (for ¹H and ¹³C NMR: δ -value) or internal C₆F₆ (for ¹⁹F NMR). Mass spectra were taken with a JEOL JMS-DX-300 spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed with a YANAKO MT-3 CHN Corder apparatus. THF was distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl prior to use.

2-Butyl-3,3-difluoro-1-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (1a)⁹ Butyllithium (50 ml, 1.66 M in hexane, 83 mmol) was added to a solution of 2,2,2-trifluoroethyl p -toluenesulfonate (10 g, 39 mmol) in THF (200ml) at -78 °C over 20 min under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min at -78 °C and then tributylborane (43 ml, 1 M in THF, 43 mmol) was added at -78 °C over 10 min. After being stirred for 1 h, the reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for an additional 3 h. The solution was treated with hexamethylphosphoric triamide (HMPA, 40 ml) and cuprous iodide (15.0 g, 79 mmol) at 0 °C and stirred for 30 min at the same temperature. To the resulting solution was added benzoyl chloride (6.1 g, 43 mmol) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction was quenched with phosphate buffer (pH 7), followed by addition of a large quantity of ice-cold water (about 200ml). To the resulting mixture was added aqueous hydrogen peroxide (50 ml, 30%) dropwise at 0 °C. After being stirred for 1 h at room temperature, the mixture was filtered. Organic materials were extracted with AcOEt three times. The combined extracts were washed with aqueous $Na₂S₂O₃$ and brine, and then dried over Na₂SO₄. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane-Et2O 50:1) followed by bulb-to-bulb distillation to give 1a $(6.1 \text{ g}, 69\%)$. bp 50 °C/0.5 mmHg (bath temp.). IR (neat) 2970, 1710, 1660, 1450, 1330, 1220, 1140, 940, 700 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ =0.90 (3H, m), 1.04-1.63 (4H, m), 2.24–2.52 (2H, m), 7.24–7.56 (3H, m), 7.56–7.80 (2H, m). ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl3/C₆F₆) 80.1 ppm (1F, dt, JFF=19 Hz, JFH=2 Hz), 89.2 ppm (1F, d, JFF=19 Hz). ¹³C NMR (CDCl3) δ=13.7, 22.3, 25.2. 30.5, 94.8 (dd. J_{CF}=17 Hz, 11 Hz), 128.5, 128.7 (d. J_{CF}=2 Hz), 133.1, 138.2, 157.8 (t. J_{CF}=298 Hz), 193.2 (dd, J_{CF}=8 Hz, 3 Hz). MS (75 eV) m/z (rel intensity) 224 (M⁺; 42), 181 (19), 105 (100), 77 (54). HRMS Found: m/z 224.1011. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₄OF₂: M, 224.1013. Anal. Found: C, 69.46; H, 6.28. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₄OF₂: C, 69.63; H, 6.29.

2-Butyl-1-cyclohexyl-3,3-difluoro-2-propen-1-one (1b)⁹ Compound 1b was prepared by the method described for 1a using 2.2.2-trifluoroethyl p-toluenesulfonate (111 mg, 0.44 mmol), butyllithium (0.56 mi, 1.63 M in hexane, 0.92 mmol), tributylborane (0.48 ml, 1 M in THF, 0.48 mmol), HMPA (0.6 ml), cuprous iodide (167 mg, 0.88 mmol), and cyclohexanecarbonyl chloride (71 µl, 0.53 mmol). Purification by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexane-Et 20 3:1) gave 1b (76 mg, 76%). IR (neat) 2940, 1700, 1670, 1450, 1320, 1285, 1140, 965 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ =0.89 (3H, m), 1.04–1.96 (14H, m), 2.00– 2.32 (2H, m), 2.56–2.92 (1H, m). ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃/C₆F₆) 85.4 ppm (1F, d, J_{FF}=15 Hz), 90.3 ppm (1F, d, $J_{\text{FF}}=15$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ =13.8, 22.3, 23.8, 25.9, 26.0, 29.1, 30.8 (d, $J_{\text{CF}}=2$ Hz), 49.2 (d, $J_{\text{CF}}=6$ Hz), 96.3 (dd, $J_{CF} = 14$ Hz, 8 Hz), 160.3 (dd, $J_{CF} = 304$ Hz, 299 Hz), 201.4 (t, $J_{CF} = 8$ Hz). MS (75 eV) m/z (rel intensity) 230 (M⁺; 49), 187 (48), 147 (100), 105 (38), 83 (42), 55 (60). HRMS Found: m/z 230.1483. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₀OF₂: M, 230.1482.

2-Butyl-3-fluoro-3-phenoxy-1-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (2a) To the THF (1.5 mL) solution of phenol (41 mg, 0.43 mmol) was added butyllithium (0.27 mL, 1.57 M in hexane, 0.43 mmol) at 0 °C, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min to generate lithium phenolate under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting solution was added to the THF (1.5 mL) solution of 1a (97 mg, 0.43 mmol) and stirred for 30 min at 0 °C. Phosphate buffer (pH 7) was then added to quench the reaction. Organic materials were extracted with AcOEt three times. The combined extracts were washed with brine, and then dried over Na₂SO₄. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexane-AcOEt 20:1) to give 2a (108 mg, 84%). IR (neat) 2960, 1640, 1595, 1495, 1330, 1210, 940, 890, 755, 690 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃-CCl₄ 1:1, for 13/87 E/Z mixture) δ =0.66–1.14 (3H, m), 1.14–1.84 (4H, m), 2.18–2.76 (2H, m), 6.52-7.94 (10H, m). ¹⁹F NMR (C₆D₆/C₆F₆) (Z)-form (major): 89.5 (1F, s); (E)-form (minor): 81.2 (1F, s). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) (Z)-form (major): $\delta = 13.8$, 22.5, 26.5, 30.7 (d, $J_{\text{CF}} = 2$ Hz), 103.1 (d, $J_{\text{CF}} = 17$ Hz) 117.1, 124.8, 128.4, 128.6, 129.9, 132.6, 139.0, 153.5, 155.7 (d, $J_{\text{CF}}=241 \text{ Hz}$), 194.7 (d, $J_{\text{CF}}=3 \text{ Hz}$); (E)form (minor): δ =13.8, 22.5, 26.0, 30.9, 104.0 (d, J_{CF}=24 Hz), 116.5, 124.3, 128.1, 128.5, 129.6, 132.3, 138.7 (d, J_{CF} =3 Hz), 153.1, 156.3 (d, J_{CF} =295 Hz), 195.3 (d, J_{CF} =9 Hz). MS (70 eV) m/z 298 (M⁺), 235, 135, 105, 77. HRMS Found: m/z 298.1371. Calcd for C19H19O2F: M, 298.1369.

Z-Butyl-l-cyclohexyl-3-fluoro-3-phenoxy-2-propen-l-one (2b) Compound2bwaspqued by the method described for 2a using 1b and lithium phenolate. Purification by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexane-AcOEt 20:1) gave 2b. IR (neat): 2940, 1765, 1715, 1595, 1495, 1455, 1195, 1165, 1110, **750, 690 cm-l.** 1H NMR (CDC13-CC14 l:l, for 11/89 *E/Z* **mixture)** 6=0.60-2.06 (17H, m), 2.06-2.56 (2H, m), 2.56-3.16 (1H, m), 6.80-7.60 (5H, m). ¹⁹F NMR (C₆D₆/C₆F₆) (Z)-form (major): 89.4 (1F, s); (E)-form (minor): δ =86.9 (1F, s). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆) (Z)-form (major): δ =14.1, 22.8, 25.7, 26.3, 26.4, 29.7, 31.5 (d, J_{CF} =2 Hz), 50.0 (d, J_{CF} =8 Hz), 104.7 (d, J_{CF} =16Hz), 117.4, 125.0, 130.2, 153.9, 157.9 (d, J_{CF} =297 Hz), 201.5 (d, J_{CF} =7 Hz); (E)-form (minor): δ =14.1, 22.8, 25.1, 26.3, 26.4, 29.7, 31.7 (d, J_{CF} =2 Hz), 49.2 (d, J_{CF} =2 Hz), 106.3 (d, J_{CF} =21 Hz), 116.7, 124.9, 130.3, 154.0, 158.4 (d, J_{CF} =295 Hz), 201.9 (d, J_{CF} =9 Hz). MS (70 eV) m/z 304(M⁺), 222, 221, 211, 191, 83, 77, 55. HRMS Found: m/z 304.1823. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₅O₂F: M, 304.1838. Anal. Found: C, 75.02; H, 8.41. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₅O₂F: C, 74.97; H, 8.28.

2-Butyl-3-fluoro-l-phenyl-3-phenylthio-2-propen-l-one (2~) Compound 2c wss prepared by the method described for 2a using la and lithium benzenethiolate. Purification by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexane-AcOEt 15:1) gave 2c along with 3c. IR (neat) 2970, 1665, 1595, 1480, 1450, 1315, 1275, **1215,1170, 1105, 1070, 935, 740, 690 cm-l. 1H NMR (CDCl3, fur 40/60** *E/Z* **mixture)** 6=0.77-0.98 (3H, m), $1.22-1.53$ (4H, m), $2.48-2.71$ (2H, m), $7.18-7.60$ (8H, m), $7.83-7.97$ (2H, m). ¹⁹F NMR (CDCI₃- CCl_4 1:1/ C_6F_6) (E)-form (major): 75.8 (1F, t, J_{FH}=3 Hz); (Z)-form (minor): 84.8 (1F, s). ¹³C-NMR (CDC1₃) (E) -form (major): $\delta = 13.7$, 22.5, 30.0 (d, $J_{CF} = 2$ Hz), 30.7 (d, $J_{CF} = 2$ Hz), 127.2 (d, $J_{CF} = 15$ Hz), 128.2, 128.6, 129.0, 129.4, 130.8, 131.2, 133.3, 137.2, 153.4 (d, $J_{\text{CF}}=302$ Hz), 194.5 (d, $J_{\text{CF}}=2$ Hz); (Z)-form (minor): δ =13.7, 22.5, 28.8, 31.1, 128.0, 128.6, 129.2, 129.3, 129.8 (d, J_{CF}=18 Hz), 130.7, 130.8, 133.4, 137.1 (d, J_{CF} =4 Hz), 152.0 (d, J_{CF} =309 Hz), 194.7 (d, J_{CF} =7 Hz). MS (70 eV) m/z 314, 237, 205, 105, 77. HRMS Found: *m/z* 314.1095. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₉FOS: M, 314.1141. Anal. Found: C, 72.79; H, 6.15. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₉FOS: C, 72.58; H, 6.09.

2-Butyl-3-ethylthio-3-fluoro-1-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (2d) Compound 2d was prepared by the method described for **2a using la** and lithium ethanethiolate. Purification by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexane-AcOEt 1O:l) gave **2d.** IR (neat) 2950.1655, 1600, 1450, 1320, 1280, 1215, 1075, 935, 800, 765, 720, 690 cm-l. 1H NMR (CDC13, for 66/34 *E/Z* **mixture)** 6=0.87 (0.9H, t, 5=7.3 Hz), 0.89 (2.1H, t,J=7.3 Hz), 1.19 (0.9H, t, 3=7.3 Hz), 1.03-1.46 (4H, m), 1.33 (2.lH, t, J=7.3 Hz), 2.47-2.55 (2H. m), 2.69 (0.6H, qd, J=7.3, 0.9 Hz), 2.82 (1.4H, q, J=7.3 Hz), 7.42-7.49 (2H, **m),** 7.52-7.58 (lH, **ml,** 7.81- 7.89 (2H, m). ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃/C₆F₆) (E)-form (major): 82.3 (1F, s); (Z)-form (minor): 75.0 (1F, s). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) (E)-form (major): δ =13.8, 15.4, 22.5, 25.7 (d, J_{CF} =3 Hz), 30.6, 30.7 (d, J_{CF} =3 Hz), 124.2 (d, J_{CF} =13 Hz), 128.5, 128.9, 133.0, 138.1, 156.3 (d, J_{CF} =303), 194.7 (d, J_{CF} =2 Hz); (Z)-form (minor): δ =13.7, 14.8, 22.5, 26.7, 28.5 (d, *J*_{CF}=2 Hz), 30.3 (d, *J_{CF}=2* Hz), 127.6 (d, *J_{CF}=19* Hz), 128.6, 129.2, 133.1, 137.5 (d, *J_{CF}=4 Hz), 155.2 (d, J_{CF}=307 Hz), 195.4 (d, J_{CF}=8 Hz). MS (70 eV)* m/z *266 (M⁺), 237,* 105 (base peak), 77. HRMS Found: *m/z* 266.1146. Calcd for C15H190FS: M, 266.1141. Anal. Found: C, 67.80; H, 7.31. Calcd for C15H190FS: C, 67.64; H, 7.19.

2-Butyl-3.3-diphenoxy-1-phenyl-Z-propen-l-on (3a) Compound 3a wss prepared by the method described for **2a using la** and lithium phenolate. Purification by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexan+AcOEt 2O:l) gave **3a** along with **2a.** IR (neat) 2950, 1660, 1595, 1495. 1330, 1210. 1120, 940, 890, 760, 690 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃-CCl₄ 1:1) δ =0.89 (3H, m), 1.12-1.84 (4H, m), 2.32-2.86 (2H, m), 6.40-8.12 (15H, m). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ =13.8, 22.6, 27.3, 31.2, 111.7, 116.9, 117.3, 123.2, 123.7, 128.0, 128.3, 128.9, 129.4, 131.9, 139.3, 152.5, 153.9, 196.7. **MS** (70 eV) *m/z* 279 (M+-PhO, base peak), 105, 77. Anal. Found C, 80.85; H, 6.71. Calcd for $C_{25}H_{24}O_3$: C, 80.62; H, 6.49.

2-(1-Butyl-2-oxo-2-phenylethyliden)-1,3-benzodioxole (3b) To the TIW (1.5 mL) solution of catechol (49 mg, 0.45 mmol) was added butyllithium (0.58 mL, 1.62 M in hexane, 0.94 mmol) at 0 °C, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min to generate dilithium catecholate under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting solution was added to the THF (25 mL) solution of 1a (100 mg, 0.45 mmol) at -78 °C and warmed to room temperature with stirring for 2 h. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure without quenching, the residue was distilled by a bulb-to-bulb distillation apparatus to give 3b (82 mg, 63%). mp 102-4 °C (hexane). IR_{(KBr disk) 2940, 1675, 1590, 1570, 1480, 1350, 1230, 1120, 895, 740, 695 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃)} δ =0.94 (3H, m), 1.10–1.88 (4H, m), 2.36–2.80 (2H, m), 6.68–7.82 (9H, m), ¹³C NMR (CDC1₃) δ =14.0, 22.5, 26.1, 31.4, 92.7, 109.6, 109.6, 124.1, 127.9, 128.1, 130.9, 141.3. 144.3, 144.9, 165.1. 194.6. MS (70 eV) m/z 294 (M⁺), 251 (base peak), 105, 77. HRMS Found: m/z 294.1259. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₈O₃: M, 294.1256. Anal. Found: C, 77.18; H, 6.16. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}O_3$: C, 77.53; H, 6.16.

2-Butyl-1-phenyl-3,3-bis(phenylthio)-2-propen-1-one (3c) Compound 3c was prepared by the method described for 2a using 1a and lithium benzenethiolate. Purification by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexane-AcOEt 10:1) gave 3c. IR (neat) 2950, 1730, 1655, 1580, 1475, 1440, 1265, 1065, 1025, 740, 685 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDC1₇-CC1₄ 1:1) δ =0.90 (3H, m), 1.08-1.80 (4H, m), 2.52-3.00 (2H, m), 6.80-7.28 (10H, m), 7.28-7.58 (3H, m), 7.72-8.08 (2H, m). ¹³C NMR (CDC1₃) δ =13.8, 22.7, 30.5, 34.6, 127.3, 127.4, 128.4, 128.7, 129.1, 129.6, 131.2, 131.9, 133.0, 133.4, 133.4, 136.3, 152.6, 196.7. MS (70 eV) m/z 404 (M⁺), 295, 105 (base peak), 77. HRMS Found: m/z 404.1267. Calcd for $C_{25}H_{24}OS_2$: M, 404.1268. Anal. Found: C, 74.33; H, 6.25. Calcd for C₂₅H₂₄OS₂: C, 74.22; H, 5.98.

2-Butyl-3,3-bis(ethylthio)-1-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (3d) Compound 3d was prepared by the method described for 2a using 1a and lithium ethanethiolate. Purification by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexane_AcOEt l&l) gave **3d. IR** (neat) 2925, 1665, 1595, 1450, 1315, 1260, 1210.965, 900, 710, 690 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ =0.64–1.70 (13H, m), 2.36–3.04 (6H, m), 7.20–7,56 (3H, m), 7.70– 8.00 (2H, m). 13 C NMR (CDCl₃) δ =13.8, 14.2, 15.3, 22.7, 27.0, 28.0, 30.5, 34.1, 128.4, 129.0, 130.6, 132.9, 136.8, 150.7, 197.2. MS (70 ev) m/z 308 (M+). 279 (base peak), 105, 77. HRMS Found: *m/z* 308.1287. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{24}OS_2$: M, 308.1268.

2-Butyl-3-oxo-3, $N¹$ **,** $N²$ **-triphenylpropanamidine (3e)** Aniline (302 mg, 3.24 mmol) was added to the THF (2.5 mL) solution of **la** (177 mg, 0.79 mmol) at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was stirred for 30 min and then heated under reflux for 2 h. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure without quenching, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexans AcOEt 15:1) to give 3e (257 mg, 88%). mp 112–113 °C (hexane). IR (KBr) 3365, 2940, 1675, 1645, 1595, 1535, 1445, 1200, 1170, 905, 750, 695 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ =0.65 (3H, t, J=6.9 Hz), 0.92–1.25 (4H, m), 1.76–1.98 (1H, m), 1.98–2.21 (1H, m), 4.93 (1H, dd, J=8.7, 5.8 Hz), 6.74–7.33 (11H, m), 7.70–8.01 $(4H, m)$. ¹³C NMR (C_6D_6) δ =13.8, 22.6, 30.0, 34.1, 47.3, 119.6, 122.3, 122.7, 128.9, 128.9, 129.3, 134.1, 136.6, 140.8, 150.4, 150.5, 200.8. MS (70 eV) *m/z* 370 (M+), 314, 278. 222. 105, 77. HRMS Found: *m/z* 370.2084. Calcd for C₂₅H₂₆N₂O: M, 370.2045. Anal. Found: C, 81.06; H, 7.05; N, 7.49. Calcd for $C_{25}H_{26}N_{2}O$: C,81.05; H, 7.07; N, 7.56.

2-Butyl-l-phenyl-3-phenoxy-3-phenylthio-2-propen-l-one (4a) To the THF (1.5 mL) solution of phenol (70 mg, 0.75 mmol) was added butyllithium $(0.47 \text{ mL}, 1.60 \text{ M})$ in hexane, 0.75 mmol) at 0 "C, and the mixture was stirred for 10 min to generate lithium phenolate under a nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting solution was added to the THF (1.5 mL) solution of la (168 mg, 0.75 mmol) at 0 "C and stirred for 30 min at the same temperature. The reaction mixture was then treated at 0 °C with lithium benzenethiolate **generated as above from** benzenethiol(l24.0 mg, 1.13 mmol) and butyllithium (0.71 mL, 1.60 M in hexane, 1.13 mmol) in THF (1.5 mL). After 30 min at 0 °C, phosphate buffer (pH 7) was added to quench the reaction.

Usual workup and following purification by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexane-AcOEt 20:1) gave 4a (247 mg, 85%). IR (neat) 3060, 2950, 1665, 1590, 1490, 1320, 1280, 1165, 1110, 1070, 1025, 940, 745, 690 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, for 2:1 E/Z mixture) δ =0.70 (2.1H, t, J=7.3 Hz), 0.85 (0.9H, t, J=7.3 Hz), 1.19 $(1.4H, tq, J=7.3, 7.3 Hz), 1.37 (0.6H, tq, J=7.3, 7.3 Hz), 1.45-1.70 (2H, m), 2.66 (1.4H, t, J=7.9 Hz),$ 2.87 (0.6H, t, J=7.9 Hz), 6.64–7.38 (13H, m), 7.96–8.07 (0.6H, m), 8.12–8.24 (1.4H, m). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) major isomer: δ =13.7, 22.7, 30.0, 30.3, 117.8, 123.1, 127.5, 128.6, 128.7, 129.3, 129.3, 131.0, 131.7, 133.2, 136.3, 137.4, 144.2, 155.0, 196.2; minor isomer: δ=13.9, 22.6, 31.0, 31.5, 117.9, 122.9, 127.5, 128.3, 128.7, 128.9, 128.9, 131.2, 131.4, 132.9, 133.9, 137.1, 146.2, 155.1, 196.6. MS (70 eV) m/z 389 (M⁺), 295, 280, 279, 223. HRMS Found: m/z 388.1484. Calcd for C₂₅H₂₄O₂S: M, 388.1497. Anal. Found: C, 76.88; H, 6.35. Calcd for C₂₅H₂₄O₂S: C, 77.29; H, 6.23.

2-Butyl-1-phenyl-3-(3-phenylpropoxy)-3-phenylthio-2-propen-1-one (4b) Compound 4b was prepared by the method described for 4a using 1a, lithium 3-phenylpropanolate, and lithium benzenethiolate. Purification by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexane-AcOEt 20:1) gave 4b. IR (neat) 2950, 1660, 1600, 1585, 1455, 1320, 1280, 1140, 1025, 745, 700 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, for 3:2 E/Z mixture) δ =0.89 $(1.8H, t, J=7.3 Hz)$, 0.90 $(1.2H, t, J=7.3 Hz)$, 1.05-1.13 $(1.2H, m)$, 1.32-1.52 $(4H, m)$, 1.85-1.91 $(0.8H,$ m), 1.98 (1.2H, t, J=7.8 Hz), 2.60 (0.8H, t, J=8.1 Hz), 2.61 (0.8H, t, J=7.8 Hz), 2.69 (1.2H, t, J=7.6 Hz), 3.52 (1.2H, t, J=6.3 Hz), 3.91 (0.8H, t, J=6.3 Hz), 6.77 (1.2H, d, J=7.0 Hz), 7.06-7.54 (11.8H, m), 7.83-7.93 (2H, m). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) major isomer: δ =13.9, 22.7, 30.2, 31.5, 31.5, 31.5, 69.5, 125.6, 126.9, 128.1, 128.2, 128.4, 128.5, 129.2, 129.3, 130.2, 132.5, 132.7, 139.2, 141.5, 147.0, 198.1; minor isomer: 8 = 13.8, 22.8, 29.8, 30.7, 31.1, 32.2, 69.1, 125.9, 126.6, 128.3, 128.4, 128.4, 128.6, 128.9, 129.1, 132.4, 132.7, 133.6, 138.1, 141.4, 151.1, 196.9. MS (70 eV) m/z 430 (M⁺), 203, 105, 91, 77. HRMS Found: m/z 430.1926. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₀O₂S: M, 430.1966. Anal. Found: C, 77.77; H, 6.96. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₀O₂S: C, 78.10; H, 7.02.

2-Butyl-1-phenyl-3-(3-phenylpropoxy)-3-ethylthio-2-propen-1-one (4c) Compound 4c was prepared by the method described for 4a using 1a, lithium 3-phenylpropanolate, and lithium ethanethiolate. Purification by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexane-AcOEt 10:1) gave 4c. IR (neat) 2900, 1660, 1600, 1455, 1320, 1280, 1130, 940, 700 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDC₁, for 3:2 E/Z mixture) δ =0.87 (1.2H, t, J=7.3 Hz), 0.89 (1.8H, t, J=7.3 Hz), 1.03 (1.2H, t, J=7.3 Hz), 1.28-1.44 (5.2H, m), 1.30 (1.8H, t, J=7.3 Hz), 2.00-2.08 (0.8H, m), 2.23 (1.2H, t, J=7.8 Hz), 2.47 (0.8H, q, J=7.3 Hz), 2.50 (0.8H, t, J=7.6 Hz), 2.57 (1.2H, t, J=7.6 Hz), 2.62 (1.2H, q, J=7.3 Hz), 2.80 (0.8H, t, J=7.8 Hz), 3.62 (1.2H, t, J=6.4 Hz), 3.98 (0.8H, t, J=6.4 Hz), 6.96 (1.2H, d, J=7.0 Hz), 7.11-7.33 (3.8H, m), 7.42 (2H, q, J=8.1 Hz), 7.47-7.55 (1H, m), 7.79–7.84 (1.2H, m), 7.87–7.92 (0.8H, m). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) major isomer: δ =13.8, 15.2, 22.6, 25.8, 30.4, 31.3, 31.3, 31.8, 70.1, 125.8, 128.2, 128.7, 129.5, 132.4, 139.2, 141.5, 152.9, 198.3; minor isomer: δ =13.9, 14.3, 22.8, 26.7, 29.6, 30.7, 31.3, 32.4, 69.3, 126.0, 128.3, 128.4, 128.5, 129.1, 131.0, 132.6, 138.4, 141.4, 149.6, 197.4. MS (70 eV) m/z 382 (M⁺), 203, 118, 105, 91, 77. HRMS Found: m/z 382.1930. Calcd for C₂₄H₃₀O₂S: M, 382.1966. Anal. Found: C, 75.47; H, 7.77. Calcd for C₂₄H₃₀O₂S: C, 75.35; H, 7.90.

2-Butyl-3-ethylthio-1-phenyl-3-phenylthio-2-propen-1-one (4d) Compound 4d was prepared by the method described for 4a using 1a, lithium ethanethiolate, and lithium benzenethiolate. Purification by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexane-AcOEt 10:1) gave 4d. IR (neat) 2950, 1665, 1580, 1450, 1315, 1265, 1025, 740, 685 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, for 3:2 E/Z mixture) δ =0.86 (1.2H, t, J=7.3 Hz), 0.90 $(1.8H, t, J=7.3 Hz)$, 0.93 $(1.2H, t, J=7.3 Hz)$, 1.24 $(1.8H, t, J=7.3 Hz)$, 1.29-1.55 $(4H, m)$, 2.53 $(0.8H, q, J=7.3 Hz)$ $J=7.3$ Hz), 2.69–2.80 (2H, m), 2.75 (1.2H, q, $J=7.3$ Hz), 7.15–7.58 (8H, m), 7.88–7.95 (2H, m). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) major isomer: δ =13.8, 15.2, 22.8, 26.9, 30.4, 34.2, 126.9, 128.6, 128.7, 128.9, 129.2, 129.5, 133.2, 134.4, 136.5, 152.6, 197.0; minor isomer: δ =13.8, 14.2, 22.7, 27.9, 30.6, 34.6, 127.0, 128.6,

129.0, 129.2, 129.8, 130.5, 133.2, 133.9, 136.5, 152.2, 197.1. MS (70 eV) m/z 356 (M⁺), 327, 247, 105 (base peak), 77. Anal. Found: C, 70.47; H, 6.75. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₄OS₂: C, 70.74; H, 6.78.

2-Butyl-3-diethylamino-1-phenyl-3-phenylthio-2-propen-1-one (4e) Diethylamine (129 mg, 1.76 mmol) was added to the THF (2.5 mL) solution of 1a (198 mg, 0.88 mmol) at 0 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was stirred for 30 min and then treated at 0° C with lithium benzenethiolate generated from benzenethiol (117 mg, 1.06 mmol) and butyllithium (0.67 mL, 1.58 M in hexane, 1.06 mmol) in THF (1.5 mL) . After 30 min at 0 °C, phosphate buffer (pH 7) was added to quench the reaction. Usual workup and purification by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexane-AcOEt 10:1) gave 4e (291 mg, 90%). IR (neat) 2975, 1630, 1550, 1450, 1385, 1320, 1280, 1110, 1075, 940, 745, 695 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ=0.52 (6H, br s), 0.87 (3H, t, J=7.3 Hz), 1.33 (2H, tq, J=7.3, 7.3 Hz), 1.38–1.47 (2H, m), 2.75 (2H, br s), 2.84 (4H, br q, J=6.7 Hz), 7.18–7.47 (8H, m), 7.72–7.80 (2H, m). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ =12.2 (br), 14.0, 22.9, 32.4 (br), 33.4, 46.4, 125.4 (br), 127.2, 128.1, 128.4, 129.0, 131.3, 131.5, 134.9, 141.1, 155.2 (br), 199.3. MS (70 eV) m/z (rel intensity) 367 (M⁺; 24), 258 (56), 105 (66), 100 (100), 77 (32), 72 (20). Anal. Found: C, 75.23; H, 8.26; N, 3.84. Calcd for C₂₃H₂₉NOS: C, 75.16; H, 7.95; N, 3.81.

2-Butyl-3-diethylamino-3-ethylthio-1-phenyl-2-propen-1-one (4f) Compound 4f was prepared by the method described for 4e using 1a, diethylamine, and ethanethiolate. Purification by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexane-AcOEt 10:1) gave 4f. IR (neat) 2975, 1630, 1550, 1450, 1385, 1320, 1280, 1215, 1110, 1075, 940, 725, 695 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDC1₃) δ =0.78 (6H, t, J=7.1 Hz), 0.88 (3H, t, J=7.2 Hz), 1.16 (3H, t, J=7.3 Hz), 1.33 (2H, tq, J=7.2, 7.2 Hz), 1.37–1.46 (2H, m), 2.53 (2H, q, J=7.3 Hz), 2.61 (2H, t, J=7.9 Hz), 3.04 (4H, q, J=7.1 Hz), 7.33–7.46 (3H, m), 7.68–7.73 (2H, m). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ =12.8, 14.0, 14.9, 22.9, 27.6, 32.1, 33.3, 45.9, 125.8 (br), 128.0, 128.3, 131.2, 141.6, 155.2 (br), 199.4. MS (70 eV) m/z (rel intensity) 319 (M⁺; 14), 290 (16), 276 (25), 214 (52), 105 (100), 100 (60), 77 (39), 72 (26). Anal. Found: C, 71.40; H, 9.17; N, 4.08. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₉NOS: C, 71.43; H, 9.15; N, 4.38.

 $N-(2-Butyl-3-oxo-3-phenyl-1-phenylthiopropy$ lidene)benzylamine $(4g)$ Compound 4g was prepared by the method described for 4e using 1a, benzylamine, and benzenethiolate. Purification by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexane-AcOEt 8:1) gave $4g$. IR (neat) 2950, 1690, 1625, 1450, 1345, 1230, 1000, 930, 730, 695 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ =0.81 (3H, t, J=7.2 Hz), 1.14–1.25 (4H, m), 1.85–1.99 (2H, m), 4.18 (1H, t, J=6.3 Hz), 4.70 (1H, d, J=16.3 Hz), 4.74 (1H, d, J=16.3 Hz), 7.16–7.39 (10H, m), 7.46– 7.57 (3H, m), 7.63–7.67 (2H, m). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ=13.9, 22.6, 30.1, 30.3, 55.0, 56.6, 126.4, 127.4, 128.2, 128.3, 129.6, 129.6, 130.0, 132.6, 136.2, 136.7, 139.4, 161.0, 196.6. MS (70 eV) m/z 402 (M⁺+H), 292, 110, 105, 91, 77. HRMS Found: *m/z* 402.1883. Calcd for C₂₆H₂₈NOS: M+H, 402.1891.

2-Butyl- N^1 , N^1 -diethyl-3-oxo-3, N^2 -diphenylpropanamidine (4h) Diethylamine (91 mg, 1.24 mmol) was added to the THF (2.5 mL) solution of 1a (139 mg, 0.62 mmol) at 0 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h, and then aniline (127 mg, 1.36 mmol) was added. After 2 h stirring at room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure without quenching. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (hexane-AcOEt 10:1) to give 4h (184 mg, 85%). IR (neat) 2950, 1680, 1585, 1450, 1425, 1255, 1190, 1070, 935, 775, 700 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ=0.85 (3H, t, J=7.3 Hz), 0.85 (6H, t, J=6.7 Hz), 1.14-1.43 (4H, m), 1.65-1.74 (1H, m), 2.49-2.60 (1H, m), 3.13–3.25 (4H, m), 4.36 (1H, dd, J=9.2, 2.5 Hz), 6.93–7.13 (6H, m), 7.24–7.30 (2H, m), 7.94–7.99 (2H, m). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆) δ =13.0, 14.1, 23.1, 30.3, 30.7, 42.1, 51.5, 122.0, 123.1, 128.4, 128.7, 129.3, 132.9, 137.5, 151.8, 156.2, 197.9. MS (70 eV) m/z (rel intensity) 350 (M⁺; 11), 294 (14), 245 (48), 172 (15), 130 (16), 105 (100), 77 (76). HRMS Found: m/z 350.2331. Calcd for C₂₃H₃₀N₂O: M, 350.2358.

Acknowledgements. We appreciate the financial support for this research by a grant from Tokuyama Science Foundation and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 05854054 from the Ministry of Education, **Science** and Culture, Japan to J. I. We also thank Central Grass Co., **Ltd** for a generous gift of 2,2,2 trifluoroethanol and the Center for Instrumental Analysis KIT for the measurement of analytical data.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. Presentad in part at the 50th **Anniversary Intemational Symposium on Organic Synthesis, Tokyo, Japan,** August. 3-4, 1992 (PS-55).
- 2. For reviews on α -oxoketene S, S-, N, S-, N, N-acetals, see: (a) Junjappa, H.; Ila. H.; Asokan, C. V. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 5423-5506. (b) Dieter, R. K. ibid. 1986, 42, 3029-3096.
- 3. There are few reports on α -oxoketene O.S-acetals, see: Purkayastha, M. L.; Chandrasekharam, M.; Vishwakarma, J. N.; Ila, H.; Juniappa, H. Synthesis 1993. 245-249 and references cited therein.
- 4. For reports on a-oxoketene O,O-acetals, see: (a) Eid, Jr.,C. N.; Konopelski, J. P. *Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 97~992 and referemm cited therein. fb)* Eid, Jr.,C. N.; Konopelski, J. P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1991, $32,461-464.$ (c) Broadhurst, M. D.; J. Org. Chem. 1985, $50,1117-1118$ and references cited therein.
- 5. (a) Pooranchand, D.; Satvanaravana, J.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. Synthesis 1993, 241-244 and references cited therein. (b) Satyanarayana, J.; Reddy, K. R.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992, 33, *6173-6176. (cl* **Datta,** A.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. J. *Org. Chem* 1990,55,5589-5594.
- 6. For recent reports, see: (a) Bhat, L.; Thomas, A.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. *Tetrahedron* 1992, 48, 10377-10388. (b) Satyanarayana, J.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. Synthesis 1991, 889-890. (c) Purkayastha, M. L.; Patro, B.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. *J. Heterocyclic. Chem.* 1991, 28, 1341-1349. (d) Yokoyama, M.; Ikuma, T.; Sugasawa, S.; Togo, H. *Buff Chent. Sot: Jpn* 1991,64,2306-2308. (e) Balu, M. P.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 6771-6782. (f) Thomas, A.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. *ibid.* 1990, *46.4295-4302. (g)* Gupta, A. K.; IIa, H.; Junjappa, H. *ibid.* 1990,46,2561-2572. (h) Thomas. A.; Chakraborty, M.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. *ibid.* 1990, 46, 577-586.
- 7. **fa) Liu, Q.; Zhao. B. Ckk** Chem. Letk 1992,3,241-244. (b) Chnndrasekharam, M.; Asokan, C. V.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1990, 31, 1763-1766. (c) Asokan, C. V.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. Synthesis 1985, 163-165.
- 8. The chlorine atoms in 2,2-dichlorovinyl ketones were replaced with N - and S-nucleophiles, while less effectively with O-nucleophiles. (a) Schroth, W.; Spitzner, R.; Hugo, S. Synthesis 1982, 199-203. (b) **Schroth, W.; Spitzner, R.; Koch, B.** *ibid. 1982, 203-205. (cl* Spitzner, **R.; Menzel,** M.; Schroth, W. ibid. **1982,206-210. (d) Banville, J.; Braward, P. J. Ckm. Sot.,** *Per&in Tranr. I* 1976. 1852-1856.
- 9. Ichikawa, J.; Hamada, S; Sonoda, T.; Kobayashi, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992, 33, 337-340.
- 10. Ichikawa, J.; Yokota, N.; Kobayashi, M.; Minami, T. Synlett, 1993, 186–188
- 11. The *E/Z ratio* of **2a was determined by GLC analysis. The configuration was assigned by t3C NMR** measurement on the basis of the greater coupling constant between the carbonyl carbon and the fluorine for *trans* geometry $(3J_{\text{C}}(0))\mathbf{F} = 9$ vs. 3 Hz)¹⁰ and by ¹⁹F NMR measurement on the basis of the down field shift of the fluorine which is *cis* to the carbonyl carbon (89.5 vs. 81.2 ppm).
- 12. In some cases, α -oxoketene imines can be isolated, which results will

(Received in Japan 11 *July* 1994; *accepted 13 August 1994)*